

RTTI WG: Report to SG Meeting #21

- Terms of Reference and Recommendations (Oct. 05) presented to the eSafety Forum 02 May, 2006

Conclusion : “The eSafety Forum welcomes the re-launch of the RTTI WG activities”

- 42 members of former RTTI WG were addressed, thereof 14 expressed their interest for participation, thereof 3 responded with comments to the ToR and the Recommendations
- First meeting fixed: 19 July, 2006, Berlin/Bosch Building

RTTI WG: Proposals (1)

- Improve information on the re-launch of the RTTI WG (e.g. the eSafety web sides)
- Active members of the WG will decide how to proceed
 - with the analysis of the given recommendations and ToR
 - to establish the work and time plan of the WG
 - to broaden – if needed - the knowledge base by additional (corresponding?) members

RTTI WG: Proposals (2)

- Work will start on following items
 - Generation of primary traffic data (how? where?)
 - Wider use and development of RDS/TMC
 - Minimum set of information across borders
 - Evaluation of traffic data (incl. prognosis)
 - Distribution of traffic data (media, frequencies)
 - User interface requirements
- 2nd meeting will be scheduled in fall 2006

RTTI WG: ToR and Comments (1)

No		Comments
1	Review of the results and recommendations of the Final Report (Oct. 2005) and analysis of open issues <ul style="list-style-type: none">- improvements / obstacles of implementations- guidelines for the stakeholders	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- (JB) Making some of the existing recommendations more specific and measurable. Combining and simplifying some recommendations
2	Focus on road traffic related criteria, expectations, needs <ul style="list-style-type: none">- user perspectives- traffic policy perspectives related to road traffic safety- traffic management perspectives	
3	Proposal of a "Road Map" for implementation of RTTI <ul style="list-style-type: none">- starting conditions, existing and evolving standards- availability and quality of data, from sources to users- future proven approach for a consistent co-operation of the involved stakeholders, including other modes of transport	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- (JB) Two key areas for Road Map – geographical progress of implementation, and technological developments. Cooperation with Road Traffic Information Group on developing Road Map for technology.- (AS) Two important features : Evolving standards for new digital bearers (importance of a European road map for digital radio !). Availability and QUALITY of data

RTTI WG: ToR and Comments (2)

4	Proposal to the Commission on further actions <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Constituent elements of a European position on RTTI- Communication / Recommendation to the Member States	
---	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Comments by:

(JB) James Burgess

(TK) Thomas Kusche (TK):

- I am completely happy with the draft Terms of Reference. Nevertheless, I feel that the group should define criteria for a better (common?) understanding of what is "safety-related". For this can not be a part of the recommendations, it should be filled in the ToR.

(AS) Alain Sarignac