



23rd Steering Group Meeting

eSafety Support Office
Av. Louise 326, Brussels

Monday, 15 January 2007 – 10:30 – 14:00

Minutes

1. Welcome and approval of the agenda

The Chairman André Vits (European Commission) welcomed the members of the Steering Group, and declared that it was with great enthusiasm that the activities would start in what will be an extremely productive year.

Arnold van Zyl (ERTICO) pointed out the changes in the eSafety Support team namely the departure of Jacob Bangsgaard (now working at FIA Foundation) and recruitment of Javier Barrio to assist the office activities.

The agenda and the minutes from the 22nd meeting were approved. Alessandro Carrotta (eSafety Support) reviewed the action items produced in the last meeting. All action items were completed.

The Chairman informed the Steering Group that Csaba Csapodi (Permanent Representation of Hungary to the EU) had retired.

➤ Action:

Letter to be written to Csaba Csapodi in the name of the Steering Group thanking his efforts for the eSafety Initiative.

Apologies were presented for Roland Niggstich (BMVBW) who could not attend the meeting, two representatives were however present at the meeting: Frank Albrecht and André Seeck.

Frank Albrecht reported to the Steering Group on the eSafety Conference being organised by the Ministry of Transport under the German Presidency. The conference will take place on 5 – 6 June 2007 in Berlin and it will gather high level representatives. The topics will be RTTI, HMI and legal aspects of advanced driver assistance systems. Commissioners will be invited as well as the Member States at the ministerial level. Other invitees can be proposed. The opening of this conference will include statements by the German Minister of Transport, the European Commission and Ivan Hodac. The first day of the meeting will be dedicated to RTTI and HMI and the second day will focus on legal aspects and eCall. It is planned that the Germany Presidency will make different proposals to

the European Commission on the topics discussed (except eCall). The tentative agenda of this conference can be found on the eSafety Support website.

2. eSafety Calendar 2007

2.1. Steering Group Meetings schedule 2007

Alessandro Carrotta presented the Steering Group Meetings' schedule for 2007. An alternative date for the meeting scheduled to take place on 22 November will be determined at a later stage.

2.2. Plenary and High Level Meetings

Juhani Jääskeläinen gave a presentation about the eSafety Forum Plenary and High Level Meetings scheduled to take place in 2007. The 2007 meetings will be associated to other meetings or events. The 7th eSafety Forum on 1 March will be associated to the GST Final Workshop. eSafety Forum members will be given the opportunity to visit the GST demonstrations on 28 February afternoon (followed by a cocktail and dinner). The focus of the meeting will be ICT for Clean, Efficient and Sustainable Mobility.

The 2nd eSafety HL Meeting with Industry will be associated to the eSafetyAware! event in Rome on 8 May. The 8th eSafety Forum Plenary will take place on 18 September in Versailles, linked with the PReVENT Demonstration Days.

A discussion followed concerning the 7th eSafety Forum on 1 March. Wolfgang Reinhardt (ACEA) commented on the draft agenda item "RTTI contribution to towards clean and efficient transport". According to him RTTI does not focus directly on cleaner transport. There has never been discussion on RTTI contribution to fewer emissions since this is a focus for the ICT for Clean and Efficient Mobility WG. There should not be double work.

Heinz Friedrichs explained that although there are inputs and information available on the effects of RTTI, the quantitative figures are missing. In order for the RTTI WG to do a presentation at the Plenary, validation results would be needed to support this presentation.

Arnold van Zyl (ERTICO) suggested having IBEC (International Benefits, Evaluation and Costs) contributing since they have worked on information about costs and benefits of ITS applications.

André Vits informed the Steering Group that the agenda would be updated according to the comments provided.

Juhani Jääskeläinen said that more information about the 2nd eSafety Forum HL with Industry, on 8 May would be available after the eSafetyAware! planning meeting which will take place on 31 January.

2.3. Associated meetings and events

Alessandro Carrotta presented the "Associated meetings and events" scheduled take place in 2007. The slides are available on the eSafety Support website.

2.4. eSafety Support activities 2007

Alessandro Carrotta presented the activities planned to take place in 2007. The presentation can be found on the eSafety Support website.

Arnold van Zyl inquired about the objectives and selection of location for the eSafety Observers Regional Meetings.

Alessandro Carrotta informed that the objectives of these meetings are to obtain reports about national eSafety activities and to keep the participants up to date on the activities of the eSafety initiative thus raising awareness. Organisations that serve as national observatories for the eSafety activities usually volunteer to organise these meetings. .

Ivan Hodac (ACEA) reported that a road safety conference and road show will take place in Brno, Czech Republic and that the scheduled eSafety Observers Regional Meeting could be attached to this. Ivan Hodac also pointed out that Romania and Bulgaria should be included in the activities.

2.5. Approval of the “Guidelines for support studies”

In the scope of eSafety Support, small supporting studies can be performed on topics approved by the eSafety Forum SG. Contractors performing the work will be selected based on tendering procedures in accordance with EC regulations. eSafety Support has produced Guidelines as required by eSafety Support’s Technical Annex.

Alessandro Carrotta informed that the Steering Group members, the WG’s Chairs and eSafety Support can propose topics for studies.

➤ **Action:**

Steering Group members to send comments on the draft “Guidelines for support studies” (mainly chapter 2) to Alessandro Carrotta by 29 January. Guidelines will be considered to be adopted by SG by this date if no comments are received.

3. Working Groups Activities

3.1. Security WG – Decision on ToR, membership, chairs

As Roland Niggstich (BMVBW) was not present at the meeting, he was represented by André Seeck from the German Federal Highway Research Institute. André Seeck gave a presentation on the Security WG draft ToR (available on the eSafety Support website) and pointed out the need to further discuss the objectives of the ToR in the context of the WG.

André Vits commented that considering the many activities building in the security area, it should be clearly identified why the specific environment in the car would be the focus. The link between the existing threats and the specific environment in the car should be made.

Paul Kompfner (ERTICO) commented that for him the term “eSecurity” as suggested in the draft ToR was confusing since this term would include other fields. He suggested having the WG be named eSafety Security.

Arnold van Zyl suggested having the WG to look also into a secure cooperative system architecture since focusing only on the car would be limit the scope and would not take full advantage of the results of the GST project.

André Seeck was of the opinion that the centre of gravity of the WG should be the vehicle itself.

The Steering Group agreed to go forward with the draft ToR, with the understanding that the comments given will be taken in consideration by the co-chairs of the group.

Answering Antonio Kung's (TRIALOG) question, André Seeck informed that privacy was not initially mentioned explicitly in the ToR, although it is included in the protection of the data.

Emilio Davila (European Commission) suggested having the Security WG members participating at the upcoming Workshop on Privacy with the Article 29 working party on 13 February.

Antonio Kung (TRIALOG), the proposed co-chair for the Security WG presented his activities to the Steering Group. He has been involved in telematics applications. Before being involved in the EC project SEVECOM, he was responsible for the security part of GST.. His CV can be found on the eSafety Support website.

André Seeck presented background information of Christoph Ruland (University of Siegen), the other proposed co-chair for the Security WG. Christoph Ruland is a professor of Data Communications Systems in the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science. His CV is available on the eSafety Support website.

The Steering Group accepted Christoph Ruland and Antonio Kung as co-chairs of the Security WG, and invited them to take the necessary actions for updating the ToR and organising the kick-off meeting of the group.

3.2. Service-oriented Architectures WG – Decision on ToR, membership, co-chairs

Juhani Jääskeläinen explained that efforts were underway for finding the co-chairs for the group. Deutsche Telecom and Bosch or Continental had been proposed, but so far there was no confirmation.

Rui Camolino (ASECAP) reported that ASECAP is willing to participate. He has been in contact with Peter Van der Perre and informed him that road operators should be involved. Rui Camolino also mentioned that there are Portuguese universities who do this kind of development and could join the WG.

➤ **Action:**

Steering Group to suggest organisations that could join the SOA WG.

3.3. ICT for Clean Mobility WG – Report on the kick-off meeting of 6 December 2006

Wolfgang Reinhardt (ACEA) and Paul Kompfner (ERTICO), the WG co-chairs reported to the SG about the kick-off meeting which took place on 6 December. The presentation can be found on the eSafety Support website.

Daniel Augello (Renault) remarked that there was need to improve the involvement of other stakeholders in this WG. Member States are not involved (except one). The WG can put forward recommendations, however at the moment of implementation the Member States will say they have not participated in this WG, just like it happened for eCall.

André Vits agreed and suggested having CEDR (Conference of European Directors of Roads) participating in the WG. CEDR has expressed strong interest in the eSafety Forum, and will apply for a seat at the SG.

Paul Kompfner reported that Transport for London and Polis could not attend at the first meeting but will participate in the future. The co-chairs understand the need to have the cities and regions present.

Ivan Hodac suggested having the participation of the programme “Cities on the move”, EuroRAP and the project ECODRIVEN (European Campaign on Improving DRIVING Behaviour, Energy-efficiency and traffic safety) since there is an overlap between safe driving and eco-driving.

Daniel Augello suggested having INRETS also participating in the WG since the institute has competency on eco-driving vs. emissions. INRETS could represent France in the WG.

Frank Albrecht remarked that Germany does not support the ICT for Clean Mobility WG. Environmental issues are important but should not be discussed at the scope of the eSafety Forum. The discussion on environmental problems should involve DG Environment.

André Vits informed that at the launch of the Intelligent Car Initiative by Commissioner Reding, it was the objective to broaden the scope of the activities.

Ulf Palmquist (EUCAR) also added that there should be a bottom-up approach in this WG and that it should not focus on research, it should focus on finding the benefits, implementation and production of a business case.

Paul Kompfner reiterated that the WG is aware that the target is deployment and that it will look at how traffic management and eco-driving can have an impact.

Ivan Hodac pointed out that the WG needs to show how CO₂ emissions can be reduced by traffic management.

3.4. International Cooperation WG – Decision on the future orientations of the group

The previous WG chair Jacob Bangsgaard (FIA Foundation) was not present at the meeting, however he had prepared a presentation which was given by André Vits. The main issue raised was how much the eSafety Forum is taking ownership of activities of this WG. There were suggestions to:

1. Selection of co-chairs from Europe, US, and Japan
2. Drafting of new ToR
3. Preparation of short report on regional activities
4. Define possible missions to be organised by the WG (EU, US, Japan, China)
5. Select priority topics to be discussed in the WG
6. Produce background material before next WG meeting (planned for October 2007 in Beijing)

Arnold van Zyl was of the opinion that the WG should not be a forum for the creation of standards; at most the group can collect information about standards from the different regions. There have been developments since the creation of this WG, for example the creation of J-safety in Japan. The WG should look at European interests and bring them to the international fora.

Daniel Augello agreed with Arnold van Zyl regarding standardisation and added that he would not participate in the WG meetings since even though there were very good presentations from Europe, he never received interesting information from Japan and the US.

Jean-Pierre Medevielle commented that there was a good exchange of information in the first meeting. In a meeting with ITS Australia in 2006, Australia mentioned that they have access to information from Europe but not at global level. In addition in terms of standardisation he sees Japan and US pushing more the topic into the agenda.

Arnold van Zyl suggested having co-chairs from other regions in order for them to take ownership of the International Cooperation WG.

Hans-Jürgen Mäurer (DEKRA) suggested inviting the other regions to the eSafety Forum meeting in Brussels and request for contribution to the WG.

Juhani Jääskeläinen reported that different methods had been tried at the International Cooperation WG meetings for example setting a list of common topics for discussion. It worked better when one topic was picked ahead for example User Awareness or HMI. It should also be considered that China and India have been participating. Juhani Jääskeläinen was of the opinion that a decision about the WG could not be taken immediately since it was not clear who should take ownership of the WG.

Daniel Augello added that other tools should not be forgotten like the EC projects Dynasty and SIMBA.

Wolfgang Reinhardt commented that the WG activities were not efficient because they were associated to other meetings and only lasted few hours. The WG meetings could for example take place via video conferences.

➤ **Action:**

Steering Group to suggest chairs for the International Cooperation WG, and give comments on its future direction

3.5. RTTI WG – Status of the Draft Report and decision on the next steps

Heinz Friedrichs (Bosch), RTTI WG chair, gave a presentation on the status of the WG report. The future recommendation will be an extension of the 2001 RTTI WG recommendation. Updates and new solutions from different stakeholders will be included. The main message will be that it's up to the Member States to build their business models.

André Vits requested the Steering Group to comment on the draft version of this report and informed that the EC will try to adopt the recommendations before June. In case it cannot be adopted at that time, the recommendation can be the base for discussion at the eSafety Conference in June under the German Presidency.

➤ **Action:**

Steering Group members to comment on the draft version of the RTTI recommendations, before opening it to public consultation.

3.6. RTD WG – Status

Ulf Palmquist gave an update on the activities of the WG. The WG's last public activity was during the last eSafety Forum meeting where it was agreed to have the "Stakeholders' Contribution to the Development of FP7 Workprogramme on ICT for Mobility" as a research agenda. This document is now in the final stages of editing.

The WG will have a meeting after the closing of the first call of FP7, later part of May or early June 2007.

4. Standardisation

4.1. eSafety standardisation and interface to the standards community: *Discussion*

Emilio Davila (European Commission) presented an overview of the standardisation activities. There are different groups working on this topic and there is a clear need to find a common European position on standardisation.

Wolfgang Reinhardt was of the opinion that creating one more group may not be recommendable. Standards are a means to help the European industry in international competition, it is important to have a European standard and try to push this in the international arena and not work in the international arena right from the start.

Paul Kompfner pointed out that there is need to look at the eSafety Working Groups and find what the standardisation requirements are. This should be then fed to the existing working groups on standardisation. There is not necessarily the need for a WG, there could be for example, a representative per eSafety WG who could bring forward the WGs' standardisation requirements.

The Steering Group decided to not establish an eSafety Standardisation WG, but a Task Force for eSafety standardisation composed by a representative per eSafety WG in collaboration with the Task Force for standardisation created by the RTD projects. André Vits proposed Paul Kompfner to lead this TF, to keep the Steering Group informed about standardisation activities and that the Steering Group could express support to Cathy Jenkins for her liaison work between the different standardisation working groups, and inform the standardisation organisations, in particular the CEN TC 278 WG 15, eSafety, about this Task Force.

➤ **Action:**

Steering Group to send a letter to the chair of the ITSSG expressing support for the liaison activities between the different standardisation Working Groups.

➤ **Action:**

Steering Group to send a letter to the chair of the CEN TC278 and the chair of its WG 15 to inform about the new TF on standardisation, indicating that Paul Kompfner will be its contact point.

5. Information Items

5.1. The 3rd eSafety Communication – Status

Due to lack of time, a presentation was not given for this item. The 3rd eSafety Communication: "Bringing eCall back on track" can be accessed via the eSafety Support website.

5.2. Eurobarometer – Status

Due to lack of time, a presentation was not given for this item. A presentation by Valérie Moutal (European Commission) about the status of the Eurobarometer studies on eSafety can be found on the eSafety Support website. In addition both studies can be accessed on: http://www.esafetysupport.org/en/esafety_activities/european_commission/.

5.3. HMI recommendation – Status

Due to lack of time, a presentation was not given for this item. A presentation by Valérie Moutal about the HMI recommendation can be found on the eSafety Support website.

6. AOB

Arnold van Zyl introduced ERTRAC's letter responding to the EC's questionnaire about lead markets. ERTRAC has identified five lead markets of which three are supported by ERTRAC: "Hydrogen as alternative fuel for transport", "Intelligent Car" and "Next Generation Biofuel". Arnold van Zyl was of the opinion that the

eSafety Forum should support this position. The co-chairs will draft a letter, which will be circulated for comments, before being signed by Arnold van Zyl and Ivan Hodac.

➤ **Action:**

Steering Group Co-chairs to draft a support letter to ERTRAC's response to the EC's questionnaire about lead markets.

7. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 13:35.

ACTION POINTS

Please see attached Excel Sheet.



23rd Steering Group Meeting

eSafety Support Office
Av. Louise 326, Brussels

Monday, 15 January 2007 – 10:30 – 14:00

Agenda

1. Welcome and approval of the agenda

- Approval of the minutes of the 22nd meeting
- Review of action points (eSafety Support)

2. eSafety Calendar 2007 – *eSafety Support*

- Steering Group Meetings schedule 2007
- Plenary and HL Meetings
- Associated meetings and events
- eSafety Support activities 2007
- Approval of the “Guidelines for support studies”

3. Working Groups Activities – *WG Chairs or acting chairs*

- Security WG – Decision on ToR, membership, chairs
- Service-oriented Architectures WG – Decision on ToR, membership, co-chairs
- ICT for Clean Mobility WG – Report on the kick-off meeting of 6 December 2006
- International Cooperation WG – Decision on the future orientations of the group
- RTTI WG – Status of the Draft Report and decision on the next steps
- RTD WG – Status

4. Standardisation

- eSafety standardisation and interface to the standards community: Discussion

5. Information Items

- The 3rd eSafety Communication – Status
- Eurobarometer - Status
- HMI recommendation – Status



23rd Steering Group Meeting

eSafety Support Office
Av. Louise 326, Brussels

Monday, 15 January 2007 – 10:30 – 14:00

PARTICIPANTS

Organisation	Name	
ACEA	HODAC	Ivan
ACEA	REINHARDT	Wolfgang
ADAC	GRILL	Johann
ASECAP	CAMOLINO	Rui
BOSCH	FRIEDRICHS	Heinz
DEKRA	MÄURER	Hans Jürgen
EC	PEKÁR	Ferenc
ERTICO	KOMPFNER	Paul
ERTICO	VAN ZYL	Arnold
eSafety Support	BARRIO	Javier
eSafety Support	CARROTTA	Alessandro
eSafety Support	SILVA	Irina
EUCAR	PALMQUIST	Ulf
European Commission	DAVILA	Emilio
European Commission	FERREIRA	Francisco
European Commission	JÄÄSKELÄINEN	Juhani
European Commission	MOUTAL	Valérie
European Commission	VITS	André
German Federal Highway Research Institute	ALBRECHT	Frank
German Federal Highway Research Institute	SEECK	André
INRETS	MEDEVIELLE	Jean-Pierre
MDCR	PICHL	Martin
RENAULT	AUGELLO	Daniel

Silicon Networks	DANIEL	Uwe
TRIALOG	KUNG	Antonio

Apologies:

- Volker Knapp, ADAC (represented by Johann Grill, ADAC)
- Peter Van der Perre, ERTICO
- Roland Niggstich, BMVBW (represented by Frank Albrecht and André Seeck from the German Federal Highway Research Institute)