

## eSafety Forum Work group Servicew Oriented Architecutre Meeting 19-09-2007

### Participants

Jose Mateo - T-Systems

Erwin Vermassen - Nimera

João Calado - Engineering School of Lisbon Univ

Enrique Bodi- DGT/University of Valencia

Uwe Daniels - Silicon Networks and chair of eSafety WG HMI

Wolfgang Reinardth - ACEA

Emilio Davilla Gonzales - EC

Volker Vierroth - T-Systems

### Introduction

The participants introduce themselves and shortly explain their interest in the WG.  
VV presents the preparation slides prepared

### Actions

Item	Date	Responsible
Prepare Doodle for meeting	asap (done)	VV
Give favourite meeting dates on doodle <a href="http://www.doodle.ch/a9ka3t52qm5intxp">http://www.doodle.ch/a9ka3t52qm5intxp</a>	asap	All
Review final WG papers	asap	All
Furhter define core servives for eSatefy SOA	ongoing	All
Define high level interfaces for services	ongoing	All

### Dates

Item	Date
Next meeting	Mid November
1 <sup>st</sup> public workshop drafted for	2/2008

## Minutes

WR express some doubts about the fact that the WG can achieve its objectives if there are no additional active participants.

WR: asks what GST did not make that is still necessary.

EV: GST made an exercise with implementation in mind related to certain technology. This group should make a step back and look to a general reference architecture.

VV presents the main results of the first meeting.

FS: we should include in the WG work a first step assessing the relevance of SOA and related Internet technologies to Automotive ITS and eSafety.

UD: the real issue is the services.

FS: makes reference to Oracle submission answering the EC consultation on RTTI WG results. The SOA/Web Services approach could enable market driven services, on the basis of exposed, invoked and delivered services according to open standard interfaces and architecture.

ED: Proposes to not limit the analysis only to safety services, but include also efficiency related services. This should be checked with the ToR of the WG.

ED express its view on the fact that the number of participants in the WG is not a real issue. He thinks that the best way to produce good results is to have a small group of committed participants to the WG and then submit results to public workshops where many stakeholders are represented.

WR: Automotive industry is not very hot on one box handling all services in the vehicle.

GST was supposed to provide the breakthrough to allow services into the vehicle, but it stopped half way. He has not clear what the WG wants to achieve.

FS: restates the need to prove through the work of the WG the applicability of SOA to the eSafety (and maybe other) environment

VV: It is important to refer to the loosely coupled services

JM: How we solve the problem of public availability of basic platform services as e.g. directory services?

FS: We need also to explain the SOA definition in simple plain text.

EV: presents some slides explaining SOA according to OASIS notation.

The group discusses the relevance of nomadic device versus embedded in relation to SOA. The proposed idea is that this is not specifically relevant to SOA although a nomadic device can be used to consume a service.

WR: Cannot take over any piece of work. It is important for me to understand what the WG wants to achieve and can go back to OEMs and possibly involve some members. See his role as liaising back to OEMs. We need to have more participants in the WG.

EV: proposes to start working on a description of the work process using the OASIS structures described before.



JM: Can contribute with use cases from a German national project called ISICOM. Interesting for how to include PAs.

Juan: Can contribute in the organisation of workshop in particular with a call to academics world.

EB: Can contribute in terms of communications technologies.

FS: Will make a description in plain text of SOA. Will make a description of the ideal outcome of the WG. What can we expect? Together with VV.