



[Joint eSecurity WG - Article 29
WG 3rd meeting

Antonio Kung
Notes



[Participants

- Barbara Raither, Trialog
- Antonio Kung, Trialog
- Frank Kargl, U.Twente
- Martin Kost, Humboldt U.
- Thomas Benz, PTV
- Marcel Vierkoetter, Bast
- Tom Gasser, Bast
- Markus Bastch, TUV
- Christophe Geuens, KUL
- Carmela Troncoso, KUL
- Endre SZABÓ, Hungary DPA
- Caspar Bowden, Microsoft
- Jan Holle, U.Siegen
- Nol Venema, Technolution
- Emilio Davila, EC
- Excused
 - Brigitte Lonc, Renault
 - Karsten Neumann, German DPA
 - Vassilis Zorkadis, Greek DPA
 - Lynn Wibberley, UK DPA

[Meeting 1: October 14th, 2009

- EDPS opinion
 - Privacy-by-design
 - Best Available Techniques
- Use case presentations (focus on data items)
 - Data for safety applications
 - Pay per use
 - Road charging
 - Traffic light management
 - Traffic services
 - Hotel booking

[Meeting 2 October 14th, 2009

- Privacy-by-design. ICO Presentation
- Best Available Techniques
 - Consensus building process (Sevilla process)
- Use case presentations (focus on privacy enhancing technologies)
 - PRECIOSA Privacy preserving approach
 - Speed alert
 - Traffic light management
 - Road charging
 - Hotel booking

[Presentation of the UK PbD

- Organisation oriented
 - Technical aspect must be integrated
 - Iterations between organisation people and technical people needed. At least 2 iterations
- ICO PbD to harmonize at the European Level
 - UK law has very narrow conception of personal data (to be taken into account if a European wide PdD approach is defined)
 - PIA screening questions to revisit
 - » E.g. question 10 on public security
- Standardisation? See ISO TC204 TR12859?
- Action : Small group in eSecurity WG to work on adaptation

[Carmela Presentation

- Clash of culture between Law/DPA and technical privacy
- Need for a network of excellence?
- Data minimization is the starting point

[Discussion on PbD

- ICO is about privacy impact not PBD
 - Meeting with ICO?
- Need for privacy threat model
- KUL is working on a PbD approach (J.Balasz)
- Privacy damage quantification / liability
 - Economics

[Discussions on COMO

- Problems of platforms providing some kind of vehicle ID
- Tracking car?
 - Is it constitutional?
- Statistical identification?
- Absolute Vs Relative data
- Action:
 - Frank to start discussion with Carmela
 - Go for unresolvable pseudonyms?
 - Avoid surveillance by design?

[Which topics and working group?

- Initial Ideas on BAT and working
 - Broadcast infrastructure
 - » Show that there is no surveillance by design
 - Road charging
 - eCall

[Questions

- Role of the Commission
- Which consensus building process?
 - Consensus building?
 - Antonio will provide a document on the applicability of the Sevilla process to Emilio (ASAP)
- Frank ask for a statement
 - Restricting data processing to ITS applications only
 - (what data protection agencies would require)
 - Could be a question to the article 29WG technology task force

[Sketch of a Privacy-by-design Approach

- ITS only?
 - Discussion with security unit?
- ICO PbD starting point only
 - Merging organisational and technical aspects
- ITS Application description capabilities
 - Input from the Frame and eFrame projects
- Variety of Privacy Enhancing Technologies Applied to categories of applications
 - Best available techniques
 - BREFs: Best available techniques References documents

[Actions

- eSecurity WG report 2
 - Advocate privacy by design approach
 - Specification of guidelines principles
 - Recommendations
 - Presentation to Article 29WG TF
- Next meeting (this fall?)
 - Proposal for an approach and plan
 - eSecurity WG consensus on the broadcast infrastructure
 - Permanent structure for consensus building?
- Joint meeting common goal
 - Understanding the application of the Data Protection finality principle to open ended data platform
 - Agreement on PBD approach
 - Contribute/Influence the process of implementing the ITS action plan



[Thanks

